No. 13 would be effective in the treatment of stomach complaints, with the exception of ulcers; that Formula No. 14 would be effective as an astringent and emollient and as an adjunct in the treatment of bad circulation, varicose veins, piles, congestion, phlebitis, and hemorrhage; that Formula No. 15 would be effective as a tonic, emollient, diuretic, and astringent, and as an adjunct in the treatment of coughs, bronchitis, asthma, catarrh, grippe, emphysema, and tuberculosis; that Formula No. 16 would be effective in the treatment of heart, kidney, liver, and urinary troubles; that the Tea No. 18 would be effective in the treatment of ulcers of the stomach and the intestines, morning sickness, sea sickness, and ulcerated stomach and intestines; and that the Pommade would be effective in the treatment of "rhumatismes," "douleurs arthritiques," "goutte," and "sciatique."

The above articles were misbranded when introduced into, and while in, interstate commerce, and while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce.

DISPOSITION: June 27, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

2825. Supplement to notices of judgment on drugs, No. 1326. U.S. v. Elmer J. Dailey (Dailey's Laboratories). (F. D. C. No. 11424. Sample Nos. 57639-F, 57640-F.)

On September 15, 1944, following a verdict of guilty on charges based upon the interstate shipment of misbranded drugs known as Sugretus and Sunol, the court imposed a fine of \$250 and placed the defendant on probation for 5 years.

On February 10, 1948, a hearing was held to revoke the probation of Mr. Dailey: and at the conclusion of all testimony, the court found that the defendant was guilty of misbranding Sugretus while on probation, in the same manner as was charged in the original proceedings. The court thereupon revoked Mr. Dailey's probation and imposed a fine of \$750 and costs.

2826. Misbranding of Neg-A-Pos heel plates. U.S. v. 84 Devices, etc. (F.D.C. No. 27267. Sample No. 8536–K.)

LIBEL FILED: May 25, 1949, District of New Jersey.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about January 1949, from Manchester Center, Vt. PRODUCT: 84 devices known as Neg-A-Pos at Hackensack, N. J., in the posses-

sion of Mr. G. V. Crowell, together with a number of leaflets entitled "Neg-A-Pos" which Mr. Crowell had printed locally. The device consisted of a copper and zinc plate, each pasted to a piece of flannel.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the following statements in the accompanying leaflets were false and misleading since the device was not effective in the treatment of the conditions stated and implied nor for the purposes mentioned: "Neg-A-Pos relieves Arthritis & Sacrailliac Back, stiffness and pain due to Rheumatism and muscular pains \* \* \* It Stimulates the Natural electrical impulses of the System and helps Nature in a natural way to Exude or carry off accumulated deposits which cause pain and stiffness. You will note a Comfortable Warming of the Feet within a few hours which is a Natural Action. \* \* \* In the Heel they come in Contact with the Large Nerve and Blood Vessels of the Leg. That is where they start to work." The devices were misbranded while held for sale after shipment in interstate commerce.